Negatively Charged Residues and Hydrogen Bonds Tune the Ligand Histidine pKₐ Values of Rieske Iron–Sulfur Proteins†
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ABSTRACT: Rieske proteins carry a redox-active iron–sulfur cluster, which is bound by two histidine and two cysteine side chains. The reduction potential of Rieske proteins depends on pH. This pH dependence can be described by two pKₐ values, which have been assigned to the two iron-coordinating histidines. Rieske proteins are commonly grouped into two major classes: Rieske proteins from quinol-oxidizing cytochrome bc complexes, in which the ligand histidines titrate in the physiological pH range, and bacterial ferredoxin Rieske proteins, in which the ligand histidines are protonated at physiological pH. In the study presented here, we have calculated pKₐ values of the cluster ligand histidines using a combined density functional theory/continuum electrostatics approach. Experimental pKₐ values for a bc-type and a ferredoxin Rieske protein could be reproduced. We could identify functionally important differences between the two proteins: hydrogen bonds toward the cluster, which are present in bc-type Rieske proteins, and negatively charged residues, which are present in ferredoxin Rieske proteins. We removed these differences by mutating the proteins in our calculations. The Rieske centers in the mutated proteins have very similar pKₐ values. We thus conclude that the studied structural differences are the main reason for the different pH-titration behavior of the proteins. Interestingly, the shift caused by neutralizing the negative charges in ferredoxin Rieske proteins is larger than the shift caused by removing the hydrogen bonds toward the cluster in bc-type Rieske proteins.

Rieske proteins are redox-active iron–sulfur proteins (1). The iron–sulfur cluster common to all Rieske proteins consists of an [Fe₂S₂] core with two histidine side chains as ligands to one iron ion and two cysteine side chains as ligands to the other iron ion (see Figure 1). In the oxidized state of the cluster, both irons are in their Fe(III) state. Rieske proteins display a characteristic pH-titration behavior that can be described by two pKₐ values, which depend on the redox state of the cluster. These pKₐ values were assigned to the two iron-coordinating histidine side chains (2–5). The pKₐ values are lower for the oxidized than for
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the reduced state of the cluster. Thus, reduction of the cluster favors protonation of the ligand histidines. The redox-dependent pK<sub>a</sub> values of Rieske proteins describe the pH dependence of their reduction potentials.

On the basis of their catalytic function and chemical properties, Rieske proteins are commonly grouped into two classes (6, 7). Rieske proteins of the first class are part of membrane-bound quinol-oxidizing complexes such as cytochrome bc<sub>1</sub> and cytochrome b<sub>f</sub>. These bc-type Rieske proteins have pH-dependent reduction potentials. Their reduction potentials at pH = 7 vary between 150 and 400 mV depending on the type of quinol they react with. In the oxidized state, one of the pK<sub>a</sub> values of the ligand histidines of bc-type Rieske proteins lies in the physiological pH range, while both histidines are protonated if the cluster is reduced. Rieske proteins of the second class, in the following called ferredoxin Rieske proteins, take part in oxidative hydrogenation of aromatic compounds. They have reduction potentials of about −150 mV at pH = 7 that are pH-dependent only above the physiological pH range. Irrespective of the redox state of the cluster, ligand histidines in ferredoxin Rieske proteins are protonated under physiological conditions.

In the study presented here, we have calculated pK<sub>a</sub> values of a bc-type and a ferredoxin Rieske protein. We identified differences in the electrostatics of the two proteins that account for the observed difference between their pK<sub>a</sub> values. The investigated proteins are the Rieske protein from a mitochondrial cytochrome bc<sub>1</sub> complex (8), in the following abbreviated as bc1R, and a bacterial ferredoxin Rieske protein (7), in the following abbreviated as FdR. In the next section, we provide some theoretical background on the calculation of pK<sub>a</sub> values in proteins by a combined density functional theory/continuum electrostatics approach. Results are presented for the wild-type structures of the two Rieske proteins, as well as for mutated structures. The analysis of the behavior of the mutants reveals that differences in the hydrogen bond pattern and, more importantly, differences in the distribution of negatively charged residues between bc1R and FdR are responsible for the difference in the pH dependence of their reduction potentials.

**THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

**Calculation of pK<sub>a</sub> Values.** The protonation equilibrium HA ⇌ A<sup>-</sup> + H<sup>+</sup> is described by its equilibrium constant, K<sub>a</sub> = ([A<sup>-</sup>][H<sup>+</sup>])/[HA], and its pK<sub>a</sub> = −log K<sub>a</sub>. The titration behavior of the same group in solution and a protein environment can differ considerably (9–11). A shift in the pK<sub>a</sub> value may be introduced by a change in the dielectric environment and by the interaction with nontitratable background charges in the protein. In addition, the protonation probability of the respective group can depend on the state of other titratable groups. This interaction can change the profile of the titration curve.

To calculate pK<sub>a</sub> values of the iron-coordinating histidines in different Rieske protein environments, we make use of the thermodynamic cycle depicted in Figure 2. The deprotonation energy in vacuum ΔG<sup>vac</sup><sub>deprot</sub> can be obtained from density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The energies to transfer different states of the titratable group from vacuum to the protein environment are calculated by a continuum electrostatics approach. The transfer energy of a proton ΔG<sub>trans</sub>(H<sup>+</sup>) = −260.5 kcal/mol is calculated from the experimentally determined reduction potential of the standard hydrogen electrode (12). Combining the results from DFT and continuum electrostatics calculations (13), we obtain the deprotonation energy in the protein environment as

\[
\Delta G_{\text{deprot}}^\text{protein} = \Delta G_{\text{deprot}}^\text{vac} + \Delta G_{\text{trans}}(A^-) + \Delta G_{\text{trans}}(H^+) - \Delta G_{\text{trans}}(AH)
\]  

The resulting energies represent relative energies of protonation microstates. The corresponding microscopic pK<sub>a</sub> values are given by pK<sub>a</sub> = (RT ln 10)<sup>−1</sup>ΔG<sup>deprot</sup><sub>protein</sub>. The microscopic pK<sub>a</sub> values describe the conversion between different microstates of the cluster as depicted in Figure 3 but do not correspond to the observables in, for instance, potentiometric experiments. Such experimentally accessible macroscopic pK<sub>a</sub> values can yet be calculated from the microscopic pK<sub>a</sub> values obtained from DFT and continuum electrostatics calculations. In its oxidized state, the Rieske cluster is an example of a diprotic acid. The macroscopic equilibrium constants of the deprotonation reactions of the ligand histidines can thus be calculated by (14, 15)

\[
K_{\text{mac}}^{ox1} = K_{11}^{ox} + K_{12}^{ox}
\]

\[
K_{\text{mac}}^{ox2} = \frac{K_{12}^{ox}K_{22}^{ox}}{K_{12}^{ox} + K_{22}^{ox}}
\]  

The meaning of the different microscopic equilibrium constants K<sup>ox</sup><sub>mac</sub> is equivalent to the pK<sub>a</sub> values in Figure 3. The macroscopic equilibrium constants K<sub>mac</sub> correspond directly to the equilibrium constants in the fitting procedure applied by Zu et al. when measuring pH-dependent reduction potentials of Rieske centers (16).

To calculate macroscopic pK<sub>a</sub> values for the reduced state of the cluster, a total of eight microstates must be considered. Our DFT calculations differentiate between states with the
reducing electron formally placed at the histidine-coordinated iron and states with the reducing electron formally placed at the cysteine-coordinated iron. Experimentally these states are not distinguished since they may both form when the cluster gets reduced. The equations for obtaining macroscopic $pK_a$ values of the reduced state are in principle analogous to eq 2; they are, however, more complicated. Together with a detailed derivation, these equations are given in the Supporting Information.

Density Functional Theory Calculations. DFT calculations were performed with the Amsterdam Density Functional program suite (ADF 2000.02, functionals VWN and PW91, see refs 17–19). Input coordinates for the DFT calculations were taken from the bc1R crystal structure (8). The calculations were performed as described in a previous paper (5); however, the hydroxyl groups of Ser163 and Tyr165 were excluded. The cluster was geometry-optimized in the 12 different states shown in Figure 3. Partial charges were derived from the DFT calculation results by a CHELPG-based algorithm (20) combined with singular value decomposition (21). Atom radii published by Bondi (22) were used in the fitting procedure.

The deprotonation energy in vacuum as depicted in Figure 2 was calculated as

$$
\Delta G_{\text{deprot}}^\text{vac} = H_{\text{DFT}}(A^-) + H_{\text{DFT}}(H^+) - H_{\text{DFT}}(AH) + H_{\text{vib}}(A^-) - H_{\text{vib}}(AH) + H_{\text{translation}}(H^+) + \Delta(pV) - TS(H^+) \tag{3}
$$

$H_{\text{DFT}}$ are the absolute energies of the respective species obtained from the DFT calculations, $H_{\text{vib}}$ are the vibrational energies derived from normal-mode analysis of methylimidazole (5), $H_{\text{translation}}(H^+) = \frac{3}{2}k_BT$ is the translational energy of a proton, $\Delta(pV) = RT$ is the energy change during deprotonation due to the change in volume, and $TS(H^+) = 7.8$ kcal/mol is the entropic contribution derived from the Sackur–Tetrode equation (23). $R$ is the universal gas constant, and $T$ is the temperature.

Preparation of the Crystal Structures for Continuum Electrostatics Calculations. The studied proteins, named bc1R and FdR, respectively, are the soluble domain of the Rieske protein from bovine mitochondrial cytochrome bc1 complex (PDB-code 1RIE, 1.5 Å resolution, see ref 8) and the ferredoxin Rieske protein from the biphenyl dioxygenase system in *Burkholderia* sp. (PDB-code 1FQT, 1.6 Å resolution, see ref 7). FdR has been crystallized as a dimer, and both monomers contain residues modeled in alternative conformations (7). Calculations were performed separately on alternative structures of both monomers, and obtained $pK_a$ values differ by less than 0.3 $pK_a$ units. Since monomer B (see 1FQT.pdb, ref 7) contained less residues modeled in alternative conformations than monomer A, results obtained for this monomer are presented in this paper.

The crystal structures were prepared for the electrostatics calculations using the CHARMM program package (24). Hydrogen atom positions were constructed and subsequently energy-minimized. Mutations were introduced by changing the respective side chains, while leaving the positions of all unchanged atoms fixed.

Continuum Electrostatics Calculations. Optimized geometries and partial charges of the different cluster states were obtained from the DFT calculations. These geometries and charges were the basis for the calculation of histidine $pK_a$ values in the different protein environments (13). The transfer energies illustrated in Figure 2 have two major contributions. The Born energy contribution arises from the change in dielectric environment between vacuum ($\epsilon_{\text{vacuum}} = 1$) and the protein/solvent environment ($\epsilon_{\text{protein}} = 4$ and $\epsilon_{\text{solvent}} = 80$). The so-called background energy is due to the interaction of the cluster with the charge distribution of the protein/solvent environment. Both the Born and the background energy are obtained by calculating the energy of the charge distribution of the cluster in the electrostatic potential of the protein/solvent environment (5, 9). Structural changes of the protein due to the changed charge distribution of the cluster are not included in the calculations. The electrostatic potential of the protein as a function of the dielectric boundary and charge distributions is calculated by solving the linearized Poisson–Boltzmann equation using the MEAD program package (25). In the Poisson–Boltzmann calculation, the

![Figure 3: Twelve possible microstates of the Rieske cluster and their interconversion. Numbering of ligand histidines corresponds to the bc1R sequence. The electron can formally reduce either the histidine-coordinated iron (reduced Fe–His) or the cysteine-coordinated iron (reduced Fe–Cys). For each of the three different redox states, there are four protonation states: both histidines can be protonated, His141 protonated and His161 deprotonated, His141 deprotonated and His161 protonated, or both histidines deprotonated. The net charges of the cluster (iron–sulfur core with ligand side chains) are given.](image)
ionic strength of the solvent is set to the physiological value of 0.15 M. The protein is described by partial charges from the CHARMM22 parameter set (24) and atom radii published by Bondi (22).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ligand Histidine pK$_a$ Values in the Wild-Type Structures of bc1R and FdR. We have calculated pK$_a$ values of the ligand histidines in the wild-type structures of the two different Rieske proteins using the described combined DFT/continuum electrostatics approach. Table 1 lists calculated and experimentally determined macroscopic pK$_a$ values of the ligand histidines in wild-type bc1R and FdR. We obtain very good agreement with pK$_a$ values determined experimentally for bovine bc1R (26). Agreement with values obtained for the same protein from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* (16) is good for the oxidized state of the cluster. For the reduced state of the cluster, experimental data for *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* and calculated data for the bovine enzyme differ.

Comparison of experimental and calculated pK$_a$ values for FdR from *Burkholderia* (16) shows reasonable agreement for the oxidized state. Differences for the reduced state of the cluster can be explained in the same way as for the comparison of bovine and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* bc1R. Since a common overall topology has been observed in all Rieske proteins (7, 8, 28–32), the structural changes observed for a bc-type Rieske protein occur most likely also in FdR. In addition, Zu et al. report some uncertainty in the fit yielding two identical pK$_a$ values for the reduced cluster (16).

Physical Basis of the Difference in pK$_a$ Values between bc1R and FdR. In the calculations, we obtained higher histidine pK$_a$ values for FdR than for bc1R as observed experimentally. To understand the physical basis of the difference between the pK$_a$ values, we have further analyzed the results from the Poisson–Boltzmann calculations. In our calculations, any difference between obtained pK$_a$ values in the two proteins is due to differences in the transfer energy of the microstates from vacuum to the respective protein environment (see Figure 2). A decomposition of the transfer energies, ΔG$_{trans}$, of four different microstates of the cluster into the Born energy, ΔG$_{Born}$, and the background energy, ΔG$_{back}$, is shown in Table 2.

For both protein environments, the transfer energy gets more negative with an increasing charge of the cluster (from oxidized and protonated, 0, to reduced and doubly deprotonated, −3). This effect is mainly due to an increase in ΔG$_{Born}$, while ΔG$_{back}$ shows no dependence on the cluster charge. The general charge-stabilizing effect of the higher dielectric of the protein compared to vacuum is more pronounced for the cluster states with a high charge density. In all cases, the Born energy contributes most to the transfer energy.

Focusing on the differences between bc1R and FdR, it is evident from our calculations that the differences in transfer energy are exclusively due to the differences in the background energy. The Born energy is essentially the same for both proteins. These results provide further evidence against the solvent-exposure hypothesis (6, 33), which was used to explain the differences in pH dependence of the reduction potential of bc-type and ferredoxin Rieske proteins before their structures were known. In fact, the influence of the distribution of dielectric boundaries in the protein/solvent environment is essentially identical in bc1R and FdR, as obvious from the neglectable differences in ΔG$_{Born}$. In contrast, the differences in chemical behavior of the cluster are exclusively due to differences between the charge distributions of the proteins.

Effects of Mutations. To identify structural differences between bc1R and FdR that account for the differences between their pK$_a$ values, we have performed calculations on a set of mutants of both proteins. The investigated mutations were chosen to remove electrostatic differences between the two structures. In bc1R, hydrogen bonds toward the cluster were removed. In FdR, negatively charged residues were neutralized. A disulfide bridge connecting the two cluster binding loops in bc-type but not ferredoxin Rieske proteins has previously been shown to have no direct effect on the chemical behavior of the cluster (34, 35). The studied mutations allow us to relate structural differences between bc1R and FdR to differences in their physical properties.

Mutations of the Hydrogen-Bonding Pattern in bc1R. In bc1R, we have studied mutations that remove two of the hydrogen bonds in the vicinity of the cluster. The side-chain hydroxyl groups of Ser163 and Tyr165 make hydrogen bonds with one of the core sulfur atoms and the sulfur atom of the

### Table 1: Calculated Macroscopic pK$_a$ values for Wild-Type bc1R and FdR in Comparison with Experimental Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>pK$_a$ values</th>
<th>oxidized</th>
<th>reduced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>calculated bovine bc1R</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experimental bovine bc1R (26)</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>&gt;10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experimental <em>Rhodobacter</em> bc1R (16)</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calculated FdR</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experimental FdR (16)</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Decomposition of the Transfer Energy (see Figure 2) as Obtained from the Poisson–Boltzmann Calculations: ΔG$_{total}$ = ΔG$_{Born}$ + ΔG$_{back}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy terms</th>
<th>ox, prot</th>
<th>redH, prot</th>
<th>ox, deprot</th>
<th>redH, deprot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔG$_{total}$</td>
<td>bc1R</td>
<td>−60.7</td>
<td>−94.6</td>
<td>−165.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FdR</td>
<td>−52.4</td>
<td>−81.3</td>
<td>−154.0</td>
<td>−297.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔΔ</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔG$_{Born}$</td>
<td>bc1R</td>
<td>−48.4</td>
<td>−77.1</td>
<td>−150.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FdR</td>
<td>−48.3</td>
<td>−77.0</td>
<td>−150.3</td>
<td>−293.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔΔ</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔG$_{back}$</td>
<td>bc1R</td>
<td>−12.3</td>
<td>−17.5</td>
<td>−15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FdR</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔΔ</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Results for four protonation/redox microstates of the cluster are presented: oxidized and doubly protonated (ox, prot), reduced with the reducing electron formally placed at the histidine-coordinated iron and doubly deprotonated (redH, deprot), oxidized and doubly deprotonated (ox, deprot), reduced with the reducing electron formally placed at the histidine-coordinated iron and doubly deprotonated (redH, deprot). The ΔΔ rows list the difference between the respective values for bc1R and FdR as ΔΔ = ΔG(FdR) − ΔG(bc1R).
been characterized experimentally (36–38). Investigated the mutations S163A and Y165F that have also been characterized experimentally (36–38). We have characterized Rieske complexes that replace Ser163 with alanine or glycine. We have studied mutationally the deprotonated states. The more pronounced effect of the S163A mutation compared to the effect of the Y165F mutation has also been observed experimentally for shifts in the oxidation potentials induced by these mutations. Our calculations show that the ligand histidine pKa values in bc1R differ only within experimental error from those of the wild-type protein (36–38). This result agrees well with the small effect of this mutation on the pKa values observed in the calculations.

Iron-ligand Cys139, respectively (see Figure 4a). No equivalent hydrogen bonds are present in FdR. Ser163 and Tyr165 are conserved among all bc-type Rieske proteins, with the exception of Rieske proteins from menaquinol-oxidizing complexes that replace Ser163 with alanine or glycine. We investigated the mutations S163A and Y165F that have also been characterized experimentally (36–38).

Our calculations show that the ligand histidine pKa values are higher in the mutants than in the wild-type protein (see Table 3): removal of the hydrogen bonds results in destabilization of states with higher charge densities, which are the deprotonated states. The more pronounced effect of the S163A mutation compared to the effect of the Y165F mutation has also been observed experimentally for shifts of the cluster reduction potential induced by these mutations (36, 37). Experimentally determined pKa values of the Y165F mutant in Rb. sphaeroides differ only within experimental error from those of the wild-type protein (38). This result agrees well with the small effect of this mutation on the pKa values observed in the calculations.

Table 3: Calculated Macroscopic pKₐ Values for Wild-Type and Mutant bc1R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>oxidized</th>
<th>reduced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wild-type</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S163A</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y165F</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mutation of Negatively Charged Residues in FdR. The cluster environments of bc1R and FdR differ not only in their hydrogen-bonding patterns but also in the distribution of negatively charged residues in the vicinity of the cluster. We have changed the carboxylic residues Asp41, Asp47, Glu62, and Glu84 of FdR (see Figure 4b) to their amide counterparts asparagine and glutamine. The distance between the negatively charged residues and the histidine ligands are 15.8 Å for Asp41, 12.1 Å for Asp47, 11.3 Å for Glu62, and 9.4 Å for Glu84 (measured as distance between the closest carboxyl oxygen and the closest Ne-atom of the histidine ligands). The protonation state of the carboxylic residues in the wild-type structure of FdR has been determined by a Monte Carlo titration calculation, as has been described previously for bc1R (5): all four residues are negatively charged at physiological pH, irrespective of the state of the cluster.

The four single mutants D41N, D47N, E62Q, and E84Q lower the histidine pKa values with respect to the wild-type by approximately the same amount (see Table 4). Removal of the negative charges around the cluster results in stabilization of cluster states with high negative charge densities, which are the deprotonated states. In addition to the four single mutants, we have studied their combination in a fourfold mutant as shown in Table 4. In the fourfold mutant, the histidine pKa values are strongly shifted toward lower values.

The Structural Basis of the Differences in Titration Behavior and Their Functional Implication. From the calculations on mutations of both bc1R and FdR, we can conclude which structural differences account for the differences in their titration behavior. In the wild-type, bc1R has lower pKa values than FdR (see Table 1). Mutational removal of two hydrogen bonds toward the cluster raises the pKa values of bc1R (see Table 3). Mutational removal of negative charges around the cluster lowers the pKa values of FdR (see Table 4). The effects of mutations S163A in bc1R and D41N, D47N, E62Q, and E84Q in FdR taken together can compensate completely for the observed difference between the wild-type pKa values of bc1R and FdR (see Table 5). We can thus conclude that the absence of negatively charged side-chains around the cluster, in combination with the presence of hydrogen-bond donor interactions, is the reason for the lower pKa values in FdR.

The charge-stabilizing effect of hydrogen bonds toward the cluster has been demonstrated in previous mutational studies measuring the cluster reduction potential (36–38). Here, we observe an equivalent effect of hydrogen-bond donor interactions on the titration behavior of the ligand histidines. In
addition, we could show that the presence of charged residues, which has not been considered earlier, has a large effect on the chemical behavior of the cluster. The effect of the charged residues on the \( pK_a \) values is larger than that of the hydrogen bonds toward the cluster. As can be seen from Table 5, the effect of charged residues is almost sufficient to explain the observed differences between the \( pK_a \) values of wild-type bc1R and FdR.

In \( bc \)-type Rieske proteins, the low \( pK_a \) values of the histidine cluster ligands provide the physical basis for the catalytic function of these proteins. The corresponding fact that the reduction potential depends on pH in the physiological range allows for coupled electron and proton uptake by the Rieske cluster. Such coupled electron and proton uptake has been proposed to be of functional importance in cytochrome \( bc_1 \) and \( b_{563} \) complexes (39): the Rieske cluster of these enzyme complexes has been suggested to serve not only as electron but also as proton acceptor in the oxidation of quinol.

CONCLUSIONS

In the presented study, structural differences between a \( bc \)-type and a ferredoxin Rieske protein have been identified that account for the differences in the titration behavior of their identical Rieske iron–sulfur clusters. Our analysis of the results from Poisson–Boltzmann calculations confirms that the different charge distributions of the two proteins and not the degree of solvent exposure of the cluster is responsible for the experimentally observed differences in titration behavior. The experimental results could be well reproduced by our calculations. By mutational changes, electrostatic differences between the two studied proteins were eliminated. In the \( bc \)-type protein, hydrogen bonds toward the cluster were removed. In the ferredoxin Rieske protein, negative charges in the vicinity of the cluster were neutralized. The clusters of the \( bc \)-type and ferredoxin Rieske proteins behave more similarly in the mutated protein environments than in the wild-type proteins. A quantitative analysis indicates that presence of hydrogen bonds toward the cluster and absence of negatively charged side chains in the vicinity of the cluster account for the lower \( pK_a \) values of the iron-coordinating histidines in the \( bc \)-type Rieske protein. According to our calculations, the negative charges around the cluster have a larger effect on the \( pK_a \) values than the hydrogen bonds. The large effect of the negative charges in FdR predicted from our calculations can be tested experimentally. The differences in histidine \( pK_a \) values between the \( bc \)-type and the ferredoxin Rieske protein are the basis for the difference in pH dependence of their reduction potentials. The results presented here do thus provide a structural understanding of the pH dependence of the reduction potentials of \( bc \)-type Rieske proteins, which is of functional importance in all quinol-oxidizing cytochrome complexes.
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Table 5: A Combination of the Investigated Structural Differences between bc1R and FdR Can Account Completely for the Observed Differences in the Ligand Histidine \( pK_a \) values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ΔpK_a</th>
<th>oxidized</th>
<th>reduced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wild-type ( pK_a ) values are lower in bc1R than FdR by</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bc1R S163A shifts ( pK_a ) up by</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FdR D41N, D47N, E62Q, and E84Q shift ( pK_a ) down by</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum of mutational ( pK_a ) shifts</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The uppermost row lists the difference between calculated wild-type \( pK_a \) values, which are lower for bc1R than for FdR. Since the studied mutations were chosen to remove electrostatic differences between bc1R and FdR, the \( pK_a \) values of the mutants are more similar than the \( pK_a \) values of the wild-type proteins. Adding up the effect of the mutation in bc1R (which raises the \( pK_a \) values with respect to the wild-type) and the effect of mutations in FdR (which lower the \( pK_a \) values with respect to the wild-type) demonstrates that the difference between the wild-type \( pK_a \) values of bc1R and FdR can be removed by the studied mutations.*
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